

**Roger L Belfay**

Attorney at Law

**application.** As above, the replacement drawings make clear the location of the guide slots relative to the dispensing device and thereby makes clear how “the dispensing device is attached to a side perpendicular to the dispensing device”.


**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112**

**The rejection of claims 1,2 and 6-11 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is obviated by entry of the amended claim section in the present application. Both claim 1 and claim 9 are independent claims.** The first appearance of a limitation in an independent claim does not require antecedent basis. The amendments to claims 1 and 9 remove the reference to an antecedent and thereby obviate the rejection of claims 1,2 and 6-11 as being indefinite under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

**The Rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 6-11 “under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Oats ‘513 (U.S. Patent No. 4,926,513) in view of Heppner (U.S. 4,212,217) in further view of Van Wagner (U.S. Patent No. 1,891,629) ...”** is obviated by entry of the enclosed amended claim section. The amendments to claims 1 and 9 add the limitation that the present invention is configured to position the sanitary material “in contact with any floor...”. This configuration is not taught by any combination of the cited references. Further, claims 2 and claims 6-8 all depend, either directly or indirectly from claim 1. Therefore, dependant claims 2 and 6-8 also include the limitation the the invention be configured to permit the sanitary material to be “in contact with any floor...”. Likewise claims 10 and 11 depend from claim 9, with corresponding results. The limitation of placing the sanitary material “in contact with any floor” is not taught by any combination of the cited references. Therefore entry of the enclosed amended claim section obviates the rejection of claims 1,2 and 6-11 **“under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Oats ‘513 (U.S. Patent No. 4,926,513) in view of Heppner (U.S. 4,212,217) in further view of Van Wagner (U.S. Patent No. 1,891,629) ...”**.

Sincerely,

  
Roger L. Belfay

Registered Patent Attorney

Registration No. 51449

Enclosures:

1. Two replacement drawing sheets.
2. Amended claim set

829 Tuscarora Avenue  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55102

Telephone: 651-222-2782  
e-mail: rogerbelfay@rogerbelfay.com